

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,956	04/05/2001	Sabine Oepen	51315	5586	
26474	7590 08/22/2002				
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER		
	ECTICUT AVENUE, N.W ON, DC 20036		MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	57
	•	09/825,956	OEPEN ET AL.	
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			-
Period fo	or Reply		·	
THE - External ferrore - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
,	closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	er <i>Ex parte</i> Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	.0 10
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	' '		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
=	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		otion)
	☐ The translation of the foreign language p			auon).
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment		·		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>-</b> ·
.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper N	 lo. 3

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicants should elect a single molding composition by selecting a single particulate emulsion polymer "A" by selecting either core-shell polymers or non-core-shell polymers; if applicants elect noncore-shell polymers, applicants should elect a single rubber from one of those set out at page 7 lines 11-15 and if applicants elect acrylate rubbers, applicants should choose a specific acrylate from page 7 lines 21-22; applicants should also elect cross-linked or non-cross-linked materials. If applicants elect cross-linked materials, then applicants should elect a single cross-linker from one of those at page 8 lines 4-8. applicants elect core-shell emulsion polymers, applicants should elect a single species of monomer "A2" from one of those at page 10 lines 4-30 in addition to a single species of base "A1". is assumed that the species of "A1" referred to "above" (see page 9 line 29 of the instant specification) are those set out at page 7 lines 10-22. In any case, election of a single species of core-shell polymer is required if applicants elect core-shell polymers. Applicants should also elect a single species of component B by electing another species of "A2" as set out above or by electing a single species of comonomers for production of component B from one of those at page 14 lines 23-30. Applicants should also elect compositions wherein component C is present or

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

in which C is not present and should also elect compositions in which component D is present or wherein component D is not present. If applicants elect compositions in which component C is present, applicants should select a single species of component C from one of those at page 17 lines 5-31; if applicants elect compositions in which component D is present, applicants should elect a single species of component D from one of those at page 19 lines 1-31.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complexity of this election requirement, no telephone election was attempted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

J. Mullis:cdc August 21, 2002